

1 Kevin E. Gilbert, Esq. (SBN: 209236)
2 kgilbert@ohhlegal.com
3 Nicholas D. Fine, Esq. (SBN: 285017)
4 nfine@ohhlegal.com
5 **ORBACH HUFF & HENDERSON LLP**
6 6200 Stoneridge Mall Road, Suite 225
Pleasanton, CA 94588
Telephone: (510) 999-7908
Facsimile: (510) 999-7918

7 Attorneys for Defendant
8 COUNTY OF ALAMEDA

9
10
11
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

12 LISAMARIA MARTINEZ,

13 Plaintiff,

14 v.

15 COUNTY OF ALAMEDA, MELISSA WILK, in
16 her individual capacity, EVA HE, in her individual
capacity, MARIA LAURA BRIONES, in her
17 individual capacity,

18 Defendants.

19 Case No. 20-cv-06570-TSH

20
21
22
23
**DECLARATION OF NICHOLAS D. FINE IN
SUPPORT OF STIPULATION AND
[PROPOSED] ORDER REGARDING
DEADLINE ON MOTION FOR
ATTORNEYS' FEES**

DECLARATION (Local Rule 6-2)

I, Nicholas D. Fine, declare as follows:

1. I am an attorney at law duly licensed to practice before all the courts in the State of California and the United States District Court – Northern District of California. I am an attorney with Huff + Henderson LLP and one of the attorneys of record for Defendant County of Alameda County”). If called and sworn as a witness to testify, I am competent to testify and would testify from personal knowledge as to the facts set forth in this Declaration, except as to those matters that are based on information and belief herein.

2. I submit this Declaration pursuant to Local Rule 6-2, in support of the Stipulation and
[redacted] Order Regarding Deadline on Motion for Attorneys' Fees ("Stipulation"), jointly submitted
by Plaintiff LISAMARIA MARTINEZ ("Plaintiff") and the County.

3. The Stipulation seeks to extend the time for Plaintiff to file a Motion for Attorneys' Fees, and the time allowed by Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 54(d)(2)(B), which requires such motions to be filed no later than 14 days after entry of judgment. Specifically, the Stipulation requests that Plaintiff be permitted to file a timely Motion for Attorneys' Fees: (1) no later than 21 days following the court's adjudication of a Renewed Motion for Judgment as a Matter of Law by the County, pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 50(b); or (2) by March 3, 2025, in the event the County does not file a Renewed Motion for Judgment as a Matter of Law.

4. The purpose of the requested enlargement of Plaintiff's time to file a Motion for
Attorneys' Fees is to streamline the briefing schedule of post-judgment motions, avoid the unnecessary
wasteful expenditure of time and resources by the Court and the parties, and to serve the interests of judicial
economy, as the Court's adjudication of a Renewed Motion for Judgment as a Matter of Law by the
Court could moot or change Plaintiff's Motion for Attorneys' Fees, depending upon the Court's ruling.

5. This action has been pending since September 18, 2020, and has already proceeded
to trial. There have been several previous time modifications throughout the course of the past
six years of litigation. However, the Court has never previously modified Plaintiff's deadline to
file Motion for Attorneys' Fees, which is the only relief the Stipulation seeks.

11

6. After meeting and conferring with counsel for Plaintiff, the Parties do not foresee the requested relief having any significant impact on the case schedule. The only remaining litigation for the Parties to complete, aside from potential appellate practice, consists of the post-judgment motions discussed in the Stipulation, and the Stipulation only seeks a brief enlargement of Plaintiff's time to file a Motion for Attorneys' Fees.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States of America that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed this 22nd day of January, 2025, at Pleasanton, California.



Nicholas D. Fine